

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/594,577	Applicant(s) UESHIMA, MINORU	
	Examiner Kuang Y. Lin	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

(1) Kuang Y. Lin.

(2) Mr. Tobias.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2008

Status of Application: amendment after first office action

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 6, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

the art of the record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed that claim 1 should be amended to better define the scope of the claim and that claims 5 and 6 should be canceled since they do not define over the prior art reference. See examiner's amendment for detail.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Kuang Y. Lin/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)